

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

CARLOS CAMACHO,	§	
#60477-177,	§	
Movant,	§	
	§	No. 3:22-cv-00933-E (BT)
v.	§	No. 3:20-cr-00339-E-1
	§	
UNITED STATES of AMERICA,	§	
Respondent.	§	

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files and records in this case, and the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge dated September 12, 2023, the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and they are accepted as the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the Court.<sup>1</sup>

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge are accepted. Moreover, considering the record in this case, the Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability. The Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation filed in this case in support of its finding that Movant has failed to show (1) that reasonable jurists would find this Court's "assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong," or (2) that reasonable jurists would find "it debatable whether the [motion] states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right"

---

<sup>1</sup> No objections were filed.

and “debatable whether [this Court] was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

If Movant files a notice of appeal, he must pay the \$505.00 appellate filing fee or submit a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

**SO ORDERED** this 29<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2023.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ada Brown', is written over a solid black horizontal line.

Ada Brown

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE